

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/800,219	03/05/2001	Srinivas Gutta	US010050 (834-53)	3342
24737	7590 03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			BONSHOCK, DENNIS G	
P.O. BOX 300	1			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	11
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/800,219	GUTTA ET AL.	/			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dennis G Bonshock	2173	,			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 25 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amou ned statutory period for reply originall	nt of the fee. The appropriate ext y set in the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).		•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	I because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	jection(s): <u>16-17</u> .					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request application in condition for allowance because:		n considered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered I raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:					
Claim(s) allowed: 16 and 17.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.	ļ			
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater		_				
10. Other:			4			
			4			
		JOHN C	ABECA			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210011

À

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to claims 1-15, the arguments were considered, but they were not persuasive. The prior art of record, Jeong and Lyons, is still believed to read on claims 1-15. The combination of Jeong and Lyons is maintained to teach, measuring the position of the user, the use of camera for taking an image of the user, a vision recognition part, and a video display screen that automatically pivots to face the user.